

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,097		08/18/2003	Warran B. Lincton	71024-023	3347
27305	7590	02/23/2006		EXAM	INER
		ARD ATTORNE	AN, SANG WOOK		
THE PINES 39400 WOO		FFICE CENTER, SU AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			1732		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,097	LINETON, WARRAN B.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sang W. An	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	C DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	<u> 5 December 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ o the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Lents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adam et al (US 4375441).

Regarding claim 1, Adams et al teach preparing a mixture of PTFE resin powder and a susceptor material (col 4 lines 52-59; Adams inherently teaches the use of mixture of PTFE resin and a susceptor material compositions by referring to "Encyclopedia of polymer Science and Technology. This reference discloses the addition of high-loss material such as carbon black in low-loss material such as PTFE (table 2) in order to increase the overall loss factor of the mixture and thereby make it suitable for dielectric heating); feeding the mixture into a compaction zone to at least partially compact and shape the mixture (col 9 lines 25-44); providing a continuous flow of the mixture from the compaction zone to a heating zone (col 9 lines 44-47) and heating and sintering the mixture within the heating zone by exciting the susceptor material by application of wave energy (col 9 lines 44-47 and col 3 lines 12-14)

Regarding claim 5, Adams et al teach cutting the PTFE material while the mixture is at a temperature below a sintering temperature within the heating zone but above ambient temperature (col 9 lines 62-67, Adams et al states that the distance between

Art Unit: 1732

the oven an takeoff permits adequate cooling, which indicates a range between ambient temperature and sintering temperature).

Regarding claim 6, Adams et al teach that the mixture is compacted into a generally tubular form (fig 2 number 32).

Regarding claim 7, Adams et al teach that the mixture is heated by microwave energy (col 3, lines 12-14).

Regarding claim 8, Adams et al teach preparing a mixture of PTFE resin powder and a susceptor material (col 4 lines 52-59; Adams inherently teaches the use of mixture of PTFE resin and a susceptor material compositions by referring to "Encyclopedia of polymer Science and Technology. This reference discloses the addition of high-loss material such as carbon black in low-loss material such as PTFE (table 2) in order to increase the overall loss factor of the mixture and thereby make it suitable for dielectric heating); compacting the mixture (col 9 lines 25-44), and sintering the mixture by exciting the susceptor material with microwave energy (col 9 lines 44-47 and col 3 lines 12-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,097 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 2-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al (US 4375441) in view of Eucker et al (US 5227105).

With regard to claim 2, Adams et al teach the invention of claim 1, but do not teach drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture. However, Eucker et al teach drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture (col 2 lines 63-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Eucker et al's vacuum in Adams et al's method of making sintered polymeric articles in order to remove unwanted air from the mixture.

With regard to claim 3, Adams et al teach that the heating zone has an initial stage for preheating and finishing compaction of the mixture prior to sintering the mixture (col 10 lines 40-45).

With regard to claim 4, Adams et al teach passing the sintered mixture through a cooling zone following the heating zone (col 9 lines 64-68).

With regard to claim 9, Eucker et al teaches drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture (col 2 lines 63-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,097

Art Unit: 1732

6. Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al (US 4375441) in view Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology (vol 5 pgs 7-8).

Page 5

Regarding claim 1, Adams et al teach feeding the mixture into a compaction zone to at least partially compact and shape the mixture (col 9 lines 25-44); providing a continuous flow of the mixture from the compaction zone to a heating zone (col 9 lines 44-47) and heating and sintering the mixture within the heating zone by exciting the susceptor material by application of wave energy (col 9 lines 44-47 and col 3 lines 12-14). However, Adams et al do not explicitly disclose preparing a mixture of PTFE resin powder and a susceptor material. Nevertheless Adams does teach a polymeric composition and references "Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology" (col 4 lines 52-59). This reference teaches the addition of high-loss material such as carbon black in low-loss material such as PTFE (table 2) in order to increase the overall loss factor of the mixture and thereby make it suitable for dielectric heating (Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology, vol 5 pgs 7-8). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a mixture of PTFE and susceptor material such as carbon black in Adams et al's method of making sintered polymeric articles in order to obtain a PTFE mixture with high-loss factor suitable for dielectric/microwave heating.

Regarding claim 5, Adams et al teach cutting the PTFE material while the mixture is at a temperature below a sintering temperature within the heating zone but above ambient temperature (col 9 lines 62-67, Adams et al states that the distance between

Application/Control Number: 10/643,097

Art Unit: 1732

the oven an takeoff permits adequate cooling, which indicates a range between ambient temperature and sintering temperature).

Regarding claim 6, Adams et al teach that the mixture is compacted into a generally tubular form (fig 2 number 32).

Regarding claim 7, Adams et al teach that the mixture is heated by microwave energy (col 3, lines 12-14).

Regarding claim 8, compacting the mixture (col 9 lines 25-44), and sintering the mixture by exciting the susceptor material with microwave energy (col 9 lines 44-47 and col 3 lines 12-14). However, Adams et al do not explicitly disclose preparing a mixture of PTFE resin powder and a susceptor material. Nevertheless Adams does teach a polymeric composition and references "Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology" (col 4 lines 52-59). This reference teaches the addition of high-loss material such as carbon black in low-loss material such as PTFE (table 2) in order to increase the overall loss factor of the mixture and thereby make it suitable for dielectric heating (Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology, vol 5 pgs 7-8). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a mixture of PTFE and susceptor material such as carbon black in Adams et al's method of making sintered polymeric articles in order to obtain a PTFE mixture with high-loss factor suitable for dielectric/microwave heating.

7. Claims 2-4 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al (US 4375441) in view of Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology (vol 5 pgs 1-23) further in view of Eucker et al (US 5227105).

With regard to claim 2, Adams et al in view of Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology teach the invention of claim 1, but do not teach drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture. However, Eucker et al teach drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture (col 2 lines 63-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Eucker et al's vacuum in Adams et al's method of making sintered polymeric articles in order to remove unwanted air from the mixture.

With regard to claim 3, Adams et al teach that the heating zone has an initial stage for preheating and finishing compaction of the mixture prior to sintering the mixture (col 10 lines 40-45).

With regard to claim 4, Adams et al teach passing the sintered mixture through a cooling zone following the heating zone (col 9 lines 64-68).

With regard to claim 9, Eucker et al teaches drawing a vacuum on the mixture within the heating zone to extract air from the mixture (col 2 lines 63-67).

Remark

Incorporation of "Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology" cited by the current examiner as a new reference has necessitated the withdrawal of the previous final rejection by the previous examiner. The arguments presented by the applicant are directed to the previously applied prior art. Those rejections are moot in view of these new rejections.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang W. An whose telephone number is (571) 272-1997. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Wook An Patent Examiner Art Unit 1732 February 6, 2006

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER